

## **REMARKS**

Claims 6-18, 23-33 and 35-40 were pending and presented for examination in this application. In an Office Action dated June 6, 2007, claims 9, 12-14, 16, 17, 23, 24, 28-31, 37 and 39 were rejected and claims 6-8, 10, 11, 15, 18, 25-27, 32, 33, 35, 36, 38 and 40 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Claims 7, 9-10, 12, 14-18, 24-28, 30-33, and 35-40 are amended herein. Claims 23 and 29 are canceled. New claims 43-56 are added. These changes do not to introduce new matter, and their entry is respectfully requested. Based on the above Amendment and the following Remarks, Applicants respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider all outstanding objections and rejections, and withdraw them.

### **Objections to the Claims**

The Examiner objects to claims 6-8, 10, 11, 15, 18, 25-27, 32, 33, 35, 36, 38 and 40 as being dependent from a rejected base claim but indicates the claims would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. In accordance with the Examiner's suggestion, Applicants have rewritten claims 7, 10, 15, 18, 25-27, 32-33, 35, 38, and 40 in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 6, 8, 11, 36 depend from claims 26, 7, 10, and 35 respectively and incorporate all the limitations of their base claim. Therefore claims 6-8, 10, 11, 15, 18, 25-27, 32, 33, 35, 36, 38 and 40 are now in condition for allowance.

### **Response to Rejection Under 35 USC 102(b) in View of "reference C7"**

The Examiner rejects claims 9, 12-14, 16, 17, 23, 24, 28-31, 37, and 39 as allegedly being anticipated by "Printer for digital camera, Epson PrintOn PT-100/PT-110B" ("reference C7"). This rejection is now traversed.

Applicants have canceled claims 23 and 29. Therefore, the rejection to claims 23 and 29 is now moot. Pending claims 9, 12-14, 16, 17, 13, 28, 30-31, and 39 and new claims 43-56 each now depend from at least one of claims 7, 10, 15, 18, 25-27, 32-33, 35, 38, and 40 and incorporate all the limitations of the base claim from which they depend. Therefore, claims 9, 12-14, 16, 17, 13, 28, 30-31, 39, and 43-56 are also now in condition for allowance.

### **CONCLUSION**

In view of the Amendments and Remarks above, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw all notwithstanding rejections and objections and issue a Notice of Allowance. In addition, Applicants respectfully invite Examiner to contact Applicants' representative at the number provided below if Examiner believes it will help expedite furtherance of this application.

Respectfully submitted,  
JONATHAN J. HULL, ET AL.

Dated: September 6, 2007 \_\_\_\_\_ By: /Jason E. Amsel/  
Jason E. Amsel, Reg. No.: 60,650  
Fenwick & West LLP  
Silicon Valley Center  
801 California Street  
Mountain View, CA 94041  
Tel.: (650) 335-7692  
Fax: (650) 938-5200

20412/08358/DOCS/1773477.1